

Commission on Athletics

COMPETITION COMMITTEE

- Minutes of the Meeting -
September 12, 2002
Via Videoconference

A. WELCOME/INTRODUCTION OF NEW MEMBERS

The meeting was called to order at 10:49AM by chair, Jerome Hunter. The members joined the videoconference from the COA Office, Chabot College, College of the Canyons, Fullerton College, Moorpark College, Palomar College, and Reedley College. Those in attendance were: Jerome Hunter, Chair; Tony Cantu, Dean Crowley (for Don MacKenzie) Jerry Hannula, Dick James, Gary Lewis, Brad McReynolds, Jean Snuggs, Joanne Fortunato, Stu Van Horn, Debra Wheeler, and Tracy Barron. Cassie Macias, Don McKenzie, and Ellen O'Connor were unable to attend.

B. LEGISLATION: CONSENT 1-15

The legislation was reviewed and the results are:

Consent 1: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval**.

Consent 2: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval**.

Consent 3: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval**.

Consent 4: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval**.

Consent 5: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval**.

Consent 6: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval**.

Consent 7: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval**.

Consent 8: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval**.

Consent 9: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval as amended**.

Basketball, Women's Guide 5.1.F.6: No use of the state tournament facility by participating teams may take place outside of the time assigned by the state tournament director. No college personnel or person representing any of the participating teams may arrange for facility use with any personnel or representative of the host site. Teams found to be in violation will be disqualified from further participation in the current state championship.

Consent 10: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval**.

Consent 11: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval**.

Consent 12: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval as amended**.

Track & Field Guide 1.2.2.A. & 1.2.2.C.1.: A Turn Lane events:

Lane #4 shall be designated the preferred lane for the following events (both women and men): 200m, 400m, 400 hurdles, 800m, 400m relay, 1600m relay.

1.2.2.A Straight-away events: Lane #5 shall be designated the preferred lane for the following events: (both women and men) 100m, 110/100m hurdles.

1.2.2.A: Lane events: Men's: 100m, 200m, 110m high hurdles, 800m, 400m intermediate hurdles, 400m, 400m relay and 1600m relay. Women's: 100m, 200m, 100m hurdles, 400m hurdles, 800m, 400m, 400m relay, and 1600m relay

Lane Formula: If appropriate, the meet manager may stipulate lane #1 to be more preferable than lane #9. The host region shall be given the preferred lane in all races, and then assigning alternating lanes between NorCal-Socal qualifiers, ranking fastest to slowest, with the fastest 5th regional qualifier given either lane #1 or #9.

Lane Formula

- 1—fastest 5th regional qualifier
- 2—Nor Cal or SoCal 4th fastest qualifier
- 3—Nor Cal or SoCal 3rd fastest qualifier
- 4—Nor Cal or SoCal 2nd fastest qualifier
- 5—Nor Cal or SoCal 1st fastest qualifier
- 6—Nor Cal or SoCal 1st fastest qualifier
- 7—Nor Cal or SoCal 2nd fastest qualifier
- 8—Nor Cal or SoCal 3rd fastest qualifier
- 9—Nor Cal or SoCal 4th fastest qualifier

Turn Lane Formula—preference from best to worst is: Lane 4, 5, 6, 3, 7, 2, 8, 9, 1.

Straight Lane Formula—preference from best to worst is: Lane 5, 4, 6, 3, 7, 8, 2, 9, 1.

Lap Lane Formula—preference from best to worst is: Lane 12 descending to lane 1.

C.1: All Field Events: Order of competition: Shall be arranged from worst to best in preliminary rounds regardless of region.

1. Order of Competition

All positions shall be drawn by lot.

Order	Competitors
1 and 2 (by lot)	Nor-Cal or So-Cal 6 th place qualifier
3 and 4 (by lot)	Nor-Cal or So-Cal 5 th place qualifier
5 and 6 (by lot)	Nor-Cal or So-Cal 4 th place qualifier
7 and 8 (by lot)	Nor-Cal or So-Cal 3 rd place qualifier
9 and 10 (by lot)	Nor-Cal or So-Cal 2 nd place qualifier
11 and 12 (by lot)	Nor-Cal or So-Cal 1 st place qualifier

Consent 13: It was MSC to recommend MC **denial**.

Consent 14: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval**.

Consent 15: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval**.

C. LEGISLATION: C1-C11

The legislation was reviewed and the results are:

C1a: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval as amended**.

Golf M: 22 contests dates

Track: 14 contests meets

It was noted that additional discussion regarding tennis is needed

C1b: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval**.

C1c: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval**.

C2: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval**.

C3: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval as amended**.

Bylaw 3.14.3: For Track and Field:

Teams separating/splitting their normal squad to attend two separate ~~contests~~ meets on the same date shall count as ~~two contests~~ one (1) meet. Teams that compete in a single meet/~~event~~ that is held at two separate sites shall count as one (1) ~~contest~~ meet.

C4: It was MSC to recommend MC **denial**.

C5: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval**.

C6: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval**.

C7: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval**.

C8: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval as amended**.

Football Guide 1.2.B: Teams are to be ranked 1 through 20 in both the North and South polls. Actual bowl pool to consist of teams ranked according to the number of bowls available. (Example: if there are eight bowl games, teams ranked 1 through 16 are in the pool). Ranking to be based upon final regular season COA/CCCFCA Coaches poll.

In case of a tie for 1st or 2nd place in the COA/CCCFCA Coaches poll after the last game of the season, the following criteria will be used:

1. The winner of head to head competition advances to the regional championship game.
2. A comparison of the teams that are tied with the wins over ranked teams in the COA/CCCFCA Coaches poll. The ranking used will be the teams places in the standings after the last official regular season games poll. Points will be awarded for wins over ranked teams in inverse (opposite) order as follows:

RANK	POINTS	RANK	POINTS
1 st	20	11 th	10
2 nd	19	12 th	9
3 rd	18	13 th	8
4 th	17	14 th	7
5 th	16	15 th	6
6 th	15	16 th	5
7 th	14	17 th	4
8 th	13	18 th	3
9 th	12	19 th	2
10 th	11	20 th	1

Hypothetical example:

The Tiger and Lions are tied for 2nd place in the poll. The tigers defeated ranked teams in the poll:

TEAM	POLL RANKING	INVERSE POINTS
Giants	10 th	11 points
Eagles	13 th	8 points
Bears	11th	10 points
Vikings	7 th	14 points
TOTAL		43 POINTS

The Lions defeated ranked teams in the poll.

TEAM	POLL RANKING	INVERSE POINTS
Cowboys	14 th	7 points
Chargers	12 th	9 points
Giants	10 th	11 points

TOTAL 27 POINTS

THE TIGERS WOULD ADVANCE TO THE REGIONAL CHAMPIONSHIP GAME.

3. If the 2 teams are still tied the next criteria will be lowest points allowed vs. common opponents. In other words in the example above, if both teams had been tied after that process, and if the lions allowed the giants 10 points and the tigers allowed the Giants 3 points then the Tigers would go to the regional championship game, because they allowed the fewest points to a ranked common opponent.
4. If the teams are still tied then a coin flip will decide who advances to the regional championship game. The procedure to decide which coach will have the choice on the flip of the coin to advance, will be as follows. The Commissioner of Athletics will select a number between one (1) and ten (10). The 2 coaches will then select a number between 1 and 10 and the person that is closest to the number selected by the Commissioner will have the choice on the coin toss.

C9: It was MSC to recommend MC **approval**.

C10: It was MSC to recommend MC **denial**.

C11: It was MSC to recommend MC **denial**.

It was recommended that COA staff look at the job responsibilities of state PC event managers after the MC completes its review of state PC formats.

D. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Competition Committee, the meeting was adjourned at 12:59PM.

Respectfully submitted by:

Joanne A. Fortunato, Ph.D.
Commissioner of Athletics

tb