

## Spring 2009 Summary of Conference Votes

	Bay Valley		Big 8		Central Valley			Coast			Foothill			Golden Valley			Orange Empire			Pacific Coast			South Coast			Western State			TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL		
	Y	N	A	Y	N	A	Y	N	A	Y	N	A	Y	N	A	Y	N	A	Y	N	A	Y	N	A	Y	N	A	Y	N	A			
<b>Policy Items</b>																																	
P-1-S09	16	0	1	20	0	0	20	0	0	41	0	0	17	0	0	8	0	0	22	0	0	0	12	0	0	14	0	34	0	0	178	26	26
P-2E-S09	17	0	0	20	0	0	20	0	0	27	6	2	17	0	0	8	0	0	22	0	0	12	0	0	0	14	0	34	0	0	177	20	20
P-3E-S09	17	0	0	20	0	0	20	0	0	36	0	0	17	0	0	8	0	0	22	0	0	12	0	0	14	0	0	34	0	0	200	0	0
P-4E-S09	15	1	1	17	0	2	20	0	0	36	0	0	17	0	0	7	1	0	12	10	0	11	1	0	0	14	0	31	1	0	166	28	28
P-5E-S09	2	12	2	0	19	0	20	0	0	28	3	3	17	0	0	2	4	1	22	0	0	12	0	0	1	14	0	0	34	0	84	106	106
P-6E-S09	17	0	0	19	0	0	20	0	0	33	0	3	17	0	0	8	0	0	22	0	0	11	0	1	14	0	0	34	0	0	195	0	0
P-7E-S09	17	0	0	0	19	0	0	17	3	0	33	3	0	17	0	0	8	0	0	22	0	1	10	1	0	14	0	34	0	0	52	140	140
P-8E-S09	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	8	14	0	8	4	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	54	3	3
P-9E-S09	Failed, classified as "inappropriate legislation" by COA Constitution Committee.																																
P-10E-S09	Failed, classified as "inappropriate legislation" by COA Constitution Committee.																																
P-11E-S09	17	0	0	19	0	0	20	0	0	36	0	0	17	0	0	2	6	0	22	0	0	10	0	2	0	14	0	34	0	0	177	20	20
P-12E-S09	Failed, classified as "inappropriate legislation" by COA Constitution Committee.																																
P-3-F08	17	0	0	16	0	3	20	0	0	36	0	0	17	0	0	6	1	1	22	0	0	10	0	2	14	0	0	33	1	0	191	2	2
P-5-F08	17	0	0	0	18	1	19	1	0	28	1	7	17	0	0	2	0	6	17	0	5	10	0	2	14	0	0	31	3	0	155	23	23
P-6-F08	2	13	2	1	18	0	20	0	0	29	7	0	2	15	0	0	8	0	22	0	0	4	7	1	14	0	0	11	19	2	105	87	87
P-7-F08	17	0	0	15	2	2	20	0	0	36	0	0	17	0	0	8	0	0	22	0	0	11	0	0	0	14	0	33	0	0	179	16	16
<b>End Policy Items</b>																																	



# Comments: Spring 2009 Conference Voting Summary

<b>Bay Valley</b>	<b>P-8-S09 &amp; O-4-S09:</b> Football Conference Items. <b>P-6-F08:</b> Would be in favor if state could pick up the costs involved. <b>O-9?-S09:</b> Other sports with RPI's involving minimum number of games might want to go to percentages.
<b>Big 8</b>	<b>P-11E-S09:</b> If non traditional season is cancelled, is game allowed? Does this count as contest?
<b>Central Valley</b>	<b>P-8-S09, O-4-S09, O-16-S09, O-17-S09, O-18,S09:</b> N/A. Not CVC
<b>Coast</b>	<p><b>P-1-S09:</b> The form should include a place for the president of the College to sign. •Outline the specific penalties for colleges and/or college personnel who do not submit the form and/or who are not in compliance. •On the historical portion, how far back does an institution need to go in showing what sports have been added or dropped? •Would the survey hold up in an OCR investigation as a valid tool to access interest? •The terms need to be clarified regarding participants—Would that be all students in the VARS class on the first day of instruction, at census, on the Form 3 or listed as final participant on the Form 3? <b>P-2E-S09:</b> Why is this an emergency item? •What will be the role on the Management Council? •Concern that the location of the voting meeting in the spring (North or South) will impact votes because participants from the geographic region not hosting may have difficulty attending. •Concern about level of involvement by institutions and if folks will be fully engaged enough to vote on all the issues. •The south has more community colleges. Because most items will require a simple majority will that disproportionately impact northern schools? Currently, representation is evenly divided between north and south. •The current system provides checks and balances and we are not sure this system provides the same safeguards. •How many voting members will there be? •Why is 60 considered a quorum? •On items pertaining to a sport, for instance baseball, would only schools sponsoring that sport vote? •Can the voting meeting in the spring be just one day? It may increase participation if people can take care of business in a single day as opposed to several days. <b>P-3E-S09:</b> Suggest the first penalty be equivalent to the number of games played. For example, it's discovered after 12 CCCAA games that a student has been playing on an outside team. The student should not be able to play in the next 12 CCCAA games even if this requires a carry-over penalty into a second year. <b>P-4E-S09:</b> You open up a can of worms by having one day for football and another day for all other sports. Soon, you'll have individual sports wanting their own day of the week or multiple days. It's better to have one standard. •Legislation should clearly state that the student is immediately ineligible upon running the report so people don't try to pick and choose when someone sits. •A mechanism should be put in place where schools that will be playing a school will be notified that certain players will sit. <b>P-5E-S09:</b> Should we offer parameters or guidelines for approving or not approving such requests? •Should we include language about the student being aware that a transfer, while in their athletic interest, may or may not be in their academic interest? <b>P-7E-S09:</b> Not Consistent with postconference competition guidelines. <b>P-8E-S09:</b> No vote—Football item. <b>O-3-S09:</b> A state meet should have proportional representation •Not consistent with postconference competition guidelines. <b>O-4-S09:</b> No vote—Football item. <b>O-6-S09:</b> How, when and where will the coach be informed? <b>O-8-S09:</b> This language should also be included in By-law 4. It's a change from FIFA rules. The way it's written would mean that it only applies to postconference competition •Was this meant for just postconference competition? •Is it not meant for postconference competition? <b>O-9-S09:</b> We commend the soccer coaches for being proactive. So future changes are not required, they should consider changing the language so the required contests for the divisor is a percentage of allowable contests.</p>

## Comments: Spring 2009 Conference Voting Summary

<b>Foothill</b>	<b>P-7E-S09:</b> Bad timing. 1st & 2nd places only. Next it will be request for 4th. <b>P-6-F08:</b> Major cost concerns. <b>O-1-S09:</b> Basketball coaches associations should have had input prior to Form 5 being submitted. <b>O-16-S09, O-17-S09, O-18-S09:</b> Do not field sport.
<b>Golden Valley</b>	None
<b>Orange Empire</b>	<b>P-2E-S09:</b> How will emergency items be handled? <b>P-4E-S09:</b> Suggested change—once a week, on Tuesday, by noon, with football included.
<b>Pacific Coast</b>	<b>P-1-S09:</b> Confusion on effective date. Clarification on qualifications of individuals making decisions on "good standing" and "determining progress" is needed. <b>P-11E-S09:</b> Number of games/day should follow tournament guidelines. <b>O-2-S09:</b> At CCCAA recommendation.
<b>South Coast</b>	<b>P-1-S09:</b> Who will make the recommendation? <b>P-2E-S09:</b> Have a problem with 8.3.8.1 (60% voting delegate). Badminton only has 30 voting members. Liking idea of having only one meeting per year. <b>P-3E-S09:</b> Take out non-traditional season. <b>P-4E-S09:</b> Not fair to single out football. Don't like A & B. <b>P-5E-S09:</b> Too subjective. Have problems with D. <b>P-7E-S09:</b> Not consistent with other sports. <b>P-8E-S09:</b> Not a football conference. <b>P-11E-S09:</b> Already have non-traditional season. Play all-star game in non-traditional season like everyone else does. <b>P-7-F08:</b> Need clarification for 4.6.1 and "C" 4.7. <b>O-1-S09:</b> Unclear about club teams and seeding. <b>O-16-S09:</b> Problem with the weigh in's. <b>O-17-S09:</b> Weigh-in, when?
<b>Western State</b>	<b>P-2E-S09:</b> Concern—Editorial/typos can be completed without vote. <b>P-3E-S09:</b> Concern—Amount of work added to eligibility clerks—remove last sentence. <b>P-5E-S09:</b> Related PE class—what is that? <b>P-7-F08:</b> Add Commissioner's ruling.